## **Network Device Interpretation #17**

## FIPS 186-4 compliance of third party crypto libraries

| Status:   |                                  | ☐ Inactive                 |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Date: 30-Jun-2016   |                                  |                            |
| Type of Document:   | ☐ Technical Decision             | ☐ Technical Recommendation |
| Approved by:  | Network iTC Interpretations Team | ☐ Network iTC              |
| Affected Document(s): ND cPP V1.0, FW cPP V1.0  |                                  |                            |
| Affected Section(s): FCS_CKM.1  |                                  |                            |
| Superseded Interpretation(s): None  |                                  |                            |
|   |                                  |                            |
| Issue:  |                                  |                            |
| As many of you know, the US leverages NIST CAVP/CMVP testing in evaluation of the cryptographic requirements in PPs. Recently, we received a request for clarification that stated that many vendors opt to rely on third party libraries to perform all of their cryptographic functions and that there are *zero* third party libraries available that meet FCS_CKM.1 (specifically the FIPS 186-4) in the cPP.  Our research has shown that there are third party libraries that have recent certificates (at least one as recent as 2 weeks ago). However, we understand that it can take time to roll the latest versions into |                                  |                            |
| products. So, we could allow for a grace period (until 1 January 2016) if necessary. I'd like to get the iTC's input on this before we move forward. Thanks for your insight and support!   |                                  |                            |
| Resolution:   |                                  |                            |
| Making the compliance to the requirements of FIPS 186-4 mandatory has been a deliberate decision. The requirement needs to be fulfilled to be able to claim NDcPP compliance.   |                                  |                            |
| Rationale:  |                                  |                            |
| None  |                                  |                            |
| Further Action:   |                                  |                            |
| None  |                                  |                            |
| Action by Network iTC:  |                                  |                            |
| None  |                                  |                            |