# **Network Device Interpretation # 201915**

## **OCSP and Authority Information Access extension**

Status:	Active	Inactive Inactive	
Date: 16-Sep-2019			
End of proposed Transition Period (to be updated after TR2TD process): 16-Sep-2019			
Type of Change:	Immediate application	Minor change	Major change
Type of Document:	Technical Decision	Technical	Recommendation
Approved by:	🛛 Network iTC Interpreta	tions Team 🗌 Network	iTC
Affected Document(s): ND SD v2.1			
Affected Section(s): FIA_X509_EXT.1/Rev , FIA_X509_EXT.2			
Superseded Interpretation(s): None			

#### Issue:

Is it mandatory to be able to process Authority Information Access (AIA) extension when claiming "OCSP as specified in RFC 6960" as per FIA\_X509\_EXT.1/Rev selection?

The Authority Information Access (AIA) is an X.509v3 extension that contains URI of the OCSP responder associated with the certificate. If the product always ignores AIA and instead requires manual configuration of an OCSP responder, does such product meet the requirements outlined in NDcPP? Please keep in mind that FIA\_X509\_EXT.2.2 allows "accept the certificate" selection, as such misconfiguring OCSP responder could lead to revocation checking not being done.

#### **Resolution:**

It is not mandatory for an NDcPP conformant TOE to be able to process AIA extension when claiming "OCSP as specified in RFC 6960" as per FIA\_X509\_EXT.1/Rev selection, because RFC 6960 sections 3.1 and 4.2.2.2 allow manual configuration of the accessLocation of the OCSP provider and OCSP signing authority, respectively.

#### **Rationale:**

See Resolution section.

#### **Further Action:**

None

### Action by Network iTC:

None